



# Uttarakhand Public Service Commission

Haridwar (Uttarakhand) -249404

Website: psc.uk.gov.in


No: 174/e-tender/I.T cell/2025-26

Dt. 06 Jan, 2026

## Corrigendum

Corrigendum is hereby issued with reference to tender No. 168/Digi Eval/I.T/2025-26 Dt. 16-12-2025, related to Digital Evaluation System of Answer Books.

Sl.No	Clause No. as per Tender Document	Existing Clause as per Tender		Please Read As	
1	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Functional Requirement specifications; Point f Pg No. 51	Provision for supply of photocopy / Data as desired by UKPSC.		Provision for supply of Data as desired by UKPSC.	
2	Critical Dates of E-tender Document	Last date & time for submission of online Proposals on E- Procurement	07/01/2026 upto 6:00PM	Last date & time for submission of online Proposals on E-Procurement	17/01/2026 upto 6:00PM
		Date and Time for opening of Technical Proposal on the E-Procurement Portal	08/01/2026 at12:00Noon	Date and Time for opening of Technical Proposal on the E- Procurement Portal	19/01/2026 at 12:00Noon
		Date & Time to Submit EMD & RFP Document Fee along with GST paid receipt Hard Copy in Original	08/01/2026 upto 11:00AM	Date & Time to Submit EMD & RFP Document Fee along with GST paid receipt Hard Copy in Original	19/01/2026 upto 11:00AM

  
05/11/26  
(Secretary)

## Prebid Queries

Expedit eSolutions Ltd. A-198, Sector-63, Noida, India				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
1	Page 20 - Section III - Sr.02: Qualification Criteria – 2.1.A (i)	Clause Read: The bidder should have experience for implementing Digital Marking/Evaluation Solution projects of similar nature to University or Education Boards or Examination Conducting bodies during last 3 years. The bidder should also have experience as per scope of work in at least one Public Service Commission.	<p>It is observed that while the clause appropriately recognizes experience with Universities, Education Boards, and Examination Conducting Bodies, the last sentence mandates experience specifically in at least one Public Service Commission (PSC).</p> <p>We respectfully submit that State Government Universities and Public Service Commission's follow comparable examination processes in terms of confidentiality, scale, statutory compliance, evaluation rigor, and stakeholder sensitivity. Further, Digital Evaluation solutions implemented in State Government Universities are often of equal or greater complexity, involving large candidate volumes, multi-stage evaluations, and strict regulatory oversight. Restricting eligibility exclusively to Public Service Commission experience unintentionally limit competition and exclude competent bidders with proven, large-scale implementations in State Government Universities. We as an organization have extensive experience in Digital Marking /Evaluation solutions for high-volume examination environments for 30+ Government Universities and autonomous organization of the Government of India (E.g. NTA).</p>	RFP Condition Prevail.

ALPS SOLUTIONS PVT LTD				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
1	RFP Clause No.01 - Company Turnover Page No. 20	The Bidder should have average annual turnover of Rs. 10 crore during last 3 (three) financial year i.e. 2022-23, 2023-24 & 2024-25.	We request the department to kindly reconsider and rationalize the minimum turnover requirement specified under this clause. A revised or optimized turnover criterion will enable participation of capable and technically sound firms,	RFP Condition Prevail.

			especially MSMEs and emerging service providers with proven domain expertise.																																																	
2	RFP Clause No. 3.1 or 3.2 – Experience	<p>No. of Answer books evaluated through digital evaluation system in a single order of any University/Education Board/Exam conducting Bodies/Public Service Commission in India.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No</th> <th>Criteria</th> <th>Maximum Score</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="4">1</td> <td><b>Company Turnover</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>10 Crores to 15 Crores</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt; 15 Crores and =&lt;25 Crores</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;25 Crores</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="4">2</td> <td><b>Certifications</b></td> <td><b>20</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>CMMi Level 3 with ISO 27001</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CMMi Level 5 with ISO 27001</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CMMi Level 5 with ISO 27001 and 1 CERT-In (Standard)</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td><b>Experience</b></td> <td><b>40</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.1</td> <td>No. of Answer books evaluated through digital evaluation system in a single order for any University/ Education Board/ Exam Conducting Bodies / Public Service Commission in India.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15000 to 25000</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>&gt;25000 to =&lt; 50,000</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>&gt;50000</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.2</td> <td>No. of Assignments in Computer Evaluation completed in India for any University/ Education Board/ Exam Conducting Bodies / Public Service Commission in India.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>3 to 5 assignments</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>&gt;5 to=&lt;10 assignments</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>&gt; 10 assignments</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No	Criteria	Maximum Score	1	<b>Company Turnover</b>	<b>10</b>	10 Crores to 15 Crores	3	> 15 Crores and =<25 Crores	5	>25 Crores	10	2	<b>Certifications</b>	<b>20</b>	CMMi Level 3 with ISO 27001	5	CMMi Level 5 with ISO 27001	10	CMMi Level 5 with ISO 27001 and 1 CERT-In (Standard)	20	3	<b>Experience</b>	<b>40</b>	3.1	No. of Answer books evaluated through digital evaluation system in a single order for any University/ Education Board/ Exam Conducting Bodies / Public Service Commission in India.			15000 to 25000	10		>25000 to =< 50,000	20		>50000	30	3.2	No. of Assignments in Computer Evaluation completed in India for any University/ Education Board/ Exam Conducting Bodies / Public Service Commission in India.			3 to 5 assignments	3		>5 to=<10 assignments	5		> 10 assignments	10	<p>We request reconsideration of the experience criteria, including the number of years and nature of past projects. Rationalization of experience requirements and scoring slabs will allow wider participation while still ensuring selection of experienced and capable bidders. We firmly believe that the above-suggested modifications will promote healthy competition, improve transparency, and assist the department in selecting the most suitable bidder strictly in accordance with the principles of QCBS. We therefore request you to kindly examine our submission and, if deemed appropriate, issue a suitable corrigendum / amendment to the RFP.</p>	RFP Condition Prevail.
Sl. No	Criteria	Maximum Score																																																		
1	<b>Company Turnover</b>	<b>10</b>																																																		
	10 Crores to 15 Crores	3																																																		
	> 15 Crores and =<25 Crores	5																																																		
	>25 Crores	10																																																		
2	<b>Certifications</b>	<b>20</b>																																																		
	CMMi Level 3 with ISO 27001	5																																																		
	CMMi Level 5 with ISO 27001	10																																																		
	CMMi Level 5 with ISO 27001 and 1 CERT-In (Standard)	20																																																		
3	<b>Experience</b>	<b>40</b>																																																		
3.1	No. of Answer books evaluated through digital evaluation system in a single order for any University/ Education Board/ Exam Conducting Bodies / Public Service Commission in India.																																																			
	15000 to 25000	10																																																		
	>25000 to =< 50,000	20																																																		
	>50000	30																																																		
3.2	No. of Assignments in Computer Evaluation completed in India for any University/ Education Board/ Exam Conducting Bodies / Public Service Commission in India.																																																			
	3 to 5 assignments	3																																																		
	>5 to=<10 assignments	5																																																		
	> 10 assignments	10																																																		

Synovy Techcity Services India PVT LTD   Faridabad   Haryana   India.				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
1	RFP Clause No. 2.A.i & 2.B.i Page No. 20	<p>The bidder should have experience for implementing Digital Marking/Evaluation Solution projects of similar nature to University or Education Boards or Examination Conducting bodies during last 3 years. The bidder should also have experience as per scope of work in at least one Public Service Commission.</p> <p>The Bidder should have average annual turnover of Rs. 10 crore during last 3 (three) financial year i.e. 2022-23, 2023-24 &amp; 2024-25.</p>	<p>Appropriate relaxation / modification may kindly be provided in the Work Experience criteria, and</p> <p>- The minimum Annual Turnover requirement may kindly be revised / reduced suitably, so as to ensure wider participation, healthy competition, and availability of quality services to the Commission at competitive and reasonable rates.</p>	RFP Condition Prevail.

TCS				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
1.	12. Earnest Money Deposit (EMD); Point 12.8 Pg No. 10	<p>The EMD paid shall be forfeited under the following conditions:</p> <p>i. if a service Provider withdraws its Proposal during the period of Proposal validity specified in this RFP Document or as extended by the mutual consent of the respective Service Provider(s) and the Client; or</p> <p>ii. if the successful Service Provider fails or refuse to:</p> <p>a. sign the Contract agreement within the period specified in accordance with ITC Clause 30.4; or</p> <p>b. furnish Performance security Deposit in accordance with ITC Clause 30.</p> <p>if the Service Provider breaches any provision of code of integrity.</p>	We request UKPSC to remove this clause.	RFP Condition Prevail.
2.	14. Format and signing of Proposal; Point 14.1 Pg No. 11	<p>The Service Provider shall provide all the required information sought under this RFP Document.</p> <p>The Client will evaluate only those Proposals that are received in the required formats and complete in all respects. incomplete and/ or conditional/ alternative Proposals shall be liable to rejection as non-responsive.</p>	<p>We request UKPSC to remove this clause.</p> <p>We request to allow conditional submission with suggestion sheet.</p>	RFP Condition Prevail.

TCS																				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made																
3.	21. Initial Examination of Proposals; Point 21.1 (iv) Pg No. 13	Whether the Proposal is substantially responsible in terms with the RFP Documents without material deviation or reservation or inconsistent with the client's right or service providers obligations as per RFP	We request UKPSC to remove this clause. We request to allow conditional submission with suggestion sheet.	RFP Condition Prevail.																
4.	Section IV: Data Sheet Clause Reference of ITB - 22.2 Point 3.1 Pg No. 23	No. of Answer books evaluated through digital evaluation system in a single order for any University/Education Board/ Exam Conducting Bodies/Public Service Commission in India. <table border="1"> <tr> <td>15000 to 25000</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;25000 to &lt;= 50,000</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;50000</td> <td>30</td> </tr> </table>	15000 to 25000	10	>25000 to <= 50,000	20	>50000	30	We request UKPSC to modify the clause. No. of Answer books evaluated through digital evaluation system in a single order for any University/Education Board/ Exam Conducting Bodies/Public Service Commission / <b>Professional Institution</b> in India. <table border="1"> <tr> <td>400000</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;400000 to &lt;800000</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;800000</td> <td>30</td> </tr> </table>	400000	10	>400000 to <800000	20	>800000	30	RFP Condition Prevail.				
15000 to 25000	10																			
>25000 to <= 50,000	20																			
>50000	30																			
400000	10																			
>400000 to <800000	20																			
>800000	30																			
5.	Section IV: Data Sheet Clause Reference of ITB - 22.2 Point 3.2 Pg No. 23	Evaluation completed in India for any University/ Education Board/Exam Conducting Bodies/Public Service Commission in India. <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3 to 5 assignments</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;5 to &lt;=10 assignments</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;10 assignments</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </table>	3 to 5 assignments	3	>5 to <=10 assignments	5	>10 assignments	10	We request UKPSC to modify the clause. No. of Assignment ID Computer Evaluation completed in India for any University/ Education Board/Exam Conducting Bodies/Public Service Commission/ <b>Professional Institutions</b> in India. <table border="1"> <tr> <td>3 to 5 assignments</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;5 to &lt;=10 assignments</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;10 assignments</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </table>	3 to 5 assignments	3	>5 to <=10 assignments	5	>10 assignments	10	RFP Condition Prevail.				
3 to 5 assignments	3																			
>5 to <=10 assignments	5																			
>10 assignments	10																			
3 to 5 assignments	3																			
>5 to <=10 assignments	5																			
>10 assignments	10																			
6.	Section IV: Data Sheet Clause Reference of ITB - 22.2 Point 4 Pg No. 23	<b>Manpower</b> <b>10</b> Technical Manpower details on company letter head <table border="1"> <tr> <td>10 to 25</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;25 to &lt;=50</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;50</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </table>	10 to 25	3	>25 to <=50	8	>50	10	Considering the sensitivity of assignment, the definition of technical manpower, regular on company payroll and duration of employment should be sought. These numbers should be increased. Total number of regular manpower should also be considered for swift delivery and scaling up of the manpower requirement as per UKPSC requirement. <table border="1"> <tr> <td><b>Manpower</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Technical Manpower details on company letter head</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>25 to 50</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;50 to &lt;=200</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;200</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Manpower</b>	<b>10</b>	Technical Manpower details on company letter head	10	25 to 50	3	>50 to <=200	8	>200	10	RFP Condition Prevail.
10 to 25	3																			
>25 to <=50	8																			
>50	10																			
<b>Manpower</b>	<b>10</b>																			
Technical Manpower details on company letter head	10																			
25 to 50	3																			
>50 to <=200	8																			
>200	10																			

TCS				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
7.	Section IV: Data Sheet Clause Reference of ITB - 22.2 Pg No. 23	Minimum qualifying mark for acceptance of the Technical Bid would be 60. The Bidder who scores less than this shall be rejected at this stage and the Commercial Bid of such Bidder shall not be opened.	The technical scoring criteria includes service providers who have experience in ABs evaluated in single order in University Education Board/Exam Conducting Body/PSC in India. This criterion is too low and brings in all kind of service providers in the country. We request, since the nature of work is highly sensitive and confidential, the minimum cutoff marks should be increased to 70% to qualify serious and established players in the market.	RFP Condition Prevail.
8.	Section IV: Data Sheet Clause Reference of ITB – 29.3 Pg No. 16, 24	Upon the successful service Provider' furnishing of Performance Security Deposit as per ant to ITC Clause 31 the Client shall execute the Contract agreement incorporating all agreed term and conditions between the Client and the successful service Provider as indicated in the Data sheet.	We request that, first signing of agreement then PBG issuance. PBG issuance requires an active agreement.	RFP Condition Prevail.
9.	Annexure A - Technical Proposal Submission Form; Point 6 Pg No. 26	I/We declare that in the last 36 (thirty-six) months from the last date of submission we have neither failed to perform on any contract as evidenced by imposition of a penalty by any procuring entity or by an arbitral or judicial authority or a judicial pronouncement or arbitration award nor been expelled from any service or contract by any public authority nor have any contract terminated by any procuring entity for breach on our part.	We request you to kindly remove this point. The focus should be on quality of the Digital Evaluation work and deliverables. Given the sensitivity and complexity of the work, imposition of penalties for any error are part of the dynamic work environment	RFP Condition Prevail.
10.	ANNEXURE J - Power of Attorney for Signing of Proposal Pg No. 39	Entire Section	Requesting UKPSC accept Organisation standard POA. Our organizational policy does not allow for the creation of POA for individual projects. We have a standard POA for all authorized signatories processed every year.	RFP Condition Prevail.
11.	ANNEXURE N: Checklist of Documents to be submitted by the Service	Active Registration under the Goods and Service Tax (OST) [& last submitted return as proof of submission of dues]	We request UKPSC to modify the clause. Active Registration under the Goods and Service Tax (OST).	RFP Condition Prevail.

TCS				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
	Provider along with the Technical Proposal: Point 8: Section- III-1.3; List of Registrations Pg No. 46			
12.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: 1. Admin Area; Point c. Pg No. 49	The system shall be scalable to incorporate all present and future requirements and simultaneous operations.	Any changes to the finalized requirements should follow a change management process which needs to be mutually agreed upon between UKPSC and the bidder.	It is clarified that the system shall be scalable to incorporate all present and future requirements and support simultaneous operations. Any changes to the finalized requirements shall be carried out strictly through a formal change management process, to be mutually agreed upon and approved by UKPSC and the bidder.
13.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: 3. Reporting; Point C Pg No. 49	System shall generate following minimum printable output/reports in PDF, Excel or as per required format: As per List	We request UKPSC to modify the clause. The system shall generate <del>following minimum printable output/</del> reports in PDF, Excel <del>or as per required</del> format: Candidates answer paper in HTML format. Note: Any modifications or customizations to reports will be subject to a feasibility assessment.	RFP condition prevail.
14.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Design and Development: Minimum	Editing Roll Number and Answer script number before finalization of meta data in the system for rectification of any wrong entry	We request UKPSC to remove this clause. Since the scanning of answer booklets is performed using the barcode pasted on first page of each booklet as a unique identifier, and the barcode is a non-editable field in the metadata, this is not applicable.	Functionality may be required for handling exceptional scenarios. RFP condition prevail.

TCS				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
	functionalities required; Point e. Pg No. 50			
15.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Design and Development: The system should be appropriately designed to; Point k. Pg No. 50	Having the capability of transferring the encrypted data to Data Center / Pen Drive.	We request UKPSC to modify the clause. Having the capability of transferring the <del>encrypted</del> <b>secured</b> data to Data Center / Pen Drive.	RFP condition prevail.
16.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Design and Development: The system should be appropriately designed to; Point n Pg No. 51	Have role-based security mechanism and proper industry-standard authentication such as Digital Signature, Biometric authentication, etc.	We request UKPSC to modify the clause Have role-based security mechanism and proper industry-standard authentication such as <b>facial / OTP based</b> <del>Digital Signature, Biometric</del> authentication, etc.	It is clarified that the term "etc." in the clause already covers additional industry-standard authentication mechanisms. RFP condition prevail.
17.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Functional Requirement specifications; Point f Pg No. 51	Provision for supply of photocopy / Data as desired by UKPSC.	We request UKPSC to modify the clause Provision for <b>system generated data/reports supply of photocopy / Data</b> as desired by UKPSC <b>in soft copy format.</b>	<b>Read As:</b> Provision for supply of Data as desired by UKPSC.
18.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work:	Provision for forgot password and secret question settings.	We request UKPSC to modify the clause. Provision for forgot password <del>and secret question settings.</del> <b>using SMS or Email OTP.</b>	RFP condition prevail.

TCS				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
	Functional Requirement specifications; Point v Pg No. 52			
19.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Functional Requirement specifications; Point kk Pg No. 52	Printing of answer script/book(s) with all annotation of marks (for example, tick right or wrong), if marked by Evaluator as per requirement.	We request UKPSC to modify the clause as following: <del>Printing</del> <b>Provisioning</b> of answer script/book(s) with all annotation of marks (for example, tick right or wrong), if marked by Evaluator as per requirement <b>in HTML format</b> .	RFP condition prevail.
20.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Functional Requirement specifications; Point tt. Pg No. 53	Automatically identify the blank pages from the scanned answer booklets and mark appropriately, ensuring that the examiner/evaluator does not have the overload of handling blank pages from the scanned answer booklets.	We request UKPSC to replace the clause Feature to mark blank pages by Evaluator within scanned answer booklets.	RFP condition prevail.
21.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: GENERAL SCOPE OF SERVICES; Point m Pg No. 54	Every page of the Answer Book is to be barcoded; therefore, all the pages of a particular Answer Book should be uniquely identified and mapped to that particular candidate.	We request UKPSC to replace the clause Provision for adequate quality checks to ensure that every page of the Answer Book is correctly mapped to the corresponding candidate.	RFP condition prevail.
22.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Pg No. 54	Scanned digital copy of the raw answer-books shall be uploaded into the Server directly from the Scanning Centre in an encrypted format.	We request UKPSC to modify the clause Scanned digital copy of the raw answer-books shall be uploaded into the Server directly from the Scanning Centre in an <del>encrypted</del> <b>secured</b> format.	RFP condition prevail.
23.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3.	The scanned answer books can be downloaded from the central	We request UKPSC to replace the clause	RFP condition prevail.

TCS				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
	Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: GENERAL SCOPE OF SERVICES; Point v Pg No. 55	server during evaluation as per requirement.	All the scanned answer books should be available during evaluation as per requirement.	
24.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Registry of Supervisors and Evaluators – Point V (p) Pg No. 58	The cover page of each evaluated answer-book shall have a summary of marks awarded question wise with the total. This page shall get generated from the server automatically along with the answer-book and the same shall be submitted as a PDF copy to the UKPSC as and when required.	In our proposed solution, a summary of question-wise marks awarded is generated as part of the HTML link of an evaluated answer book. We request UKPSC to accept the same.	RFP condition prevail.
25.	SECTION VI: TERM OF REFERENCE: 3. Details of the tasks to be carried out: Scope of Work: Registry of Supervisors and Evaluators; Point v (ff) Pg No. 58	System shall provide graphical and tabular tools to view progress of each individual process.	We request UKPSC to modify the clause System should provide reports to view progress of each individual process.	RFP condition prevail.
26.	Clause GCC 7.2 Point a Pg No. 81	Liquidated Damages	We request to cap Liquidated Damages/ Penalty as per GFR. We request UKPSC to cap maximum Liquidated Damages/Penalty at 5% of the work order value.	RFP condition prevail.
27.	Clause GCC 7.2 point e Pg No. 81	In case any portion or question in an answer book remains unevaluated. or any totaling error is detected the same shall be considered an error attributable to the service Provider. The service Provider shall be liable to pay <b>liquidated damages of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupee One Lakh only)</b> per such error. The examiner shall not be held responsible in such cases.	We request UKPSC to modify the clause <del>The markers for the evaluation of Answer Booklet will be provided by UKPSC, hence</del> The unevaluated question/ answer book position errors attributed to evaluators should not be attributed to service provider. The software provides auto calculation of marks as well as review and confirmation from evaluators before evaluation submission. The	RFP condition prevail.

<b>TCS</b>				
<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Section, Clause No. Page No.</b>	<b>Description as per RFP document</b>	<b>Query Raised</b>	<b>Remarks/Clarification/Modification made</b>
			blank pages are also marked as blank by the evaluators.	
28.	General	--	Request UKPSC to kindly allow digital signatures at the end of the pdf document instead of each page. An undertaking on letter head accompanied confirming review of each page.	Accepted
29.	General	--	Request UKPSC to kindly extend the date of submission by 10 working days due to long festive season and meeting logistical requirement of submission of tender response.	Last date extended upto 17.01.2026. Please refer to corrigendum.
30.	General	--	Request word file of the tender to copy and use annexure and table formats to prepare bid response.	Uploaded for reference only.
31.	Clause 12.1 Page No. 9	The EMD may be provided in the form of Fixed Deposit Receipt/ Bank Guarantee including E-Bank Guarantee etc., issued/ confirmed by a Scheduled Banks, pledged in favour of the Authority and payable at the place as specified in the Data Sheet to the satisfaction of the Client safeguarding the interest of the Client in all respects.	Request you kind confirm, if we can deposit EMD in the form of Demand Draft.	As per RFP document i.e FDR or Bank Guarantee.

<b>Magnetic Infotech (Pvt) Ltd , Secunderabad, Telangana</b>				
<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Section, Clause No. Page No.</b>	<b>Description as per RFP document</b>	<b>Query Raised</b>	<b>Remarks/Clarification/Modification made</b>
1	General	--	In this connection we request you to provide the Sample Answer Booklet which is proposed for Digital Evaluation to understand the existing design of the answer booklet and to enable us to provide a best solution as per your requirement.	Old Question papers are available on UKPSC website psc.uk.gov.in under section Old Question Papers.
2	General	--	It is requested to kindly clarify how to pay the GST amount of Rs.720/-. In the eprocurement portal it is not providing any option to pay the GST amount.	Under Reverse Charge Mechanism (RCM).
3	General	--	And also clarify that after submission of the technical bid in the eprocurement portal, what is the last date to submit the Hard copies of EMD,	Please refer to Point No. 8 on page No. 3 and 4. Also refer to published Corrigendum for revised dates.

			Tender Document Fee and Technical Bid.	
--	--	--	----------------------------------------	--

<b>TechSum IT Solutions Pvt. Ltd.</b>				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
1	--	--	We kindly reiterate our request to relax the pre-qualification criteria of having PSC Experience, which is currently limiting our participation in the tender.	RFP Condition Prevail.

<b>TSR Data Processing Pvt. Ltd (TDPL).</b>				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
1	--	--	<p>Please receive the enclosed attachment for changes in some points in QCBS marking to RFP No. 168/Digi Eval/I.T/2025-26 Dt. 16-12-2025.</p> <p>Turnover 05 to 10 Crores Above 10 Crores</p> <p>No. of Answer Books evaluated through digital evaluation system in a single order for any University/Education Board/Exam Conducting Bodies/Public Service Commission in India 10000 to 15,000 Above 15,000</p> <p>No. of Assignments in Computer Evaluation completed in India for any University/Education Board/Exam Conducting Bodes/Public Service Commission in India 3 to 5 Assignments Above 5</p>	RFP Condition Prevail.

<b>ITI Limited</b>				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Modification made
1.	*Clause No. 2.1-A (ii):* Pg No. 20	The bidder should own the IPR of the software solution with non-malicious code certificate. Copy of valid certificate/self-declaration for owning the source code and IPR for digital evaluation solution along with non-malicious code compliance must be submitted.	We respectfully request exemption/relaxation from the above clause, considering that ITI Limited is a Government of India PSU and is empanelled as a nodal agency with the Government of Uttarakhand. Our participation is in line with government-to-government collaboration and public interest objectives.	RFP Condition Prevail.

<b>Saurik Solutions, Lucknow (U.P)</b>				
Sl. No	Section, Clause No. Page No.	Description as per RFP document	Query Raised	Remarks/Clarification/Mo

				<b>dification made</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>General</b>	--	We Saurik Solutions registered in MSME category request you to kindly make some amendments to the technical evaluation criteria of the RFP mentioned of above subject, specifically regarding the weightage given to turnover, work experience, and the number of technical personnels. That's will provide an opportunity to other companies like ours who wish to participate in the above RFP	RFP Condition Prevail.